State Environmental Planning Policies

| SEPP | Consistency |
|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| SEFF | Consistency |
| SEPP No 1—Development Standards | Not Applicable. |
| SEPP No 19—Bushland in Urban Areas | Not Applicable. |
| SEPP No 21—Caravan Parks | Not Applicable. |
| SEPP No 30—Intensive Agriculture | Not Applicable. |
| SEPP No 33—Hazardous and Offensive | Not Applicable. |
| Development | |
| SEPP No 36—Manufactured Home Estates | Not Applicable. |
| SEPP No 44—Koala Habitat Protection | Consistent. |
| | The site does not represent 'potential koala habitat' or 'core koala habitat' as defined in SEPP |
| | 44. |
| SEPP No 47—Moore Park Showground | Not Applicable. |
| SEPP No 50—Canal Estate Development | Not Applicable. |
| SEPP No 52—Farm Dams and Other Works in | Not Applicable. |
| Land and Water Management Plan Areas | |
| SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land | Consistent. |
| | |
| | A Contamination Assessment and Remediation Action Plan has been prepared for the site in accordance |
| | with the SEPP. A Site Auditor will be required to be |
| | appointed to the site. |
| SEPP No 62—Sustainable Aquaculture | Not Applicable. |
| SEPP No 64—Advertising and Signage | Consistent. |
| | The Planning Proposal will not contain provisions |
| | The Planning Proposal will not contain provisions that will contradict or would hinder the |
| | application of the SEPP. |
| SEPP No 65—Design Quality of Residential | Not Applicable. |
| Apartment Development | |
| | However, the Urban Design Analysis Report |
| | prepared by Studio GL assumes that the |
| | residential flat buildings will be subject to the |
| | requirements of SEPP 65 and the ADG. Initially site planning has considered the requirements of |
| | SEPP 65, the ADG and Council's DCP. Further |
| | detailed analysis will be undertaken as part of |
| | the DA process. |
| | |
| SEPP No 70—Affordable Housing (Revised | Not Applicable. |
| Schemes) SEPP No 71—Coastal Protection | Consistent. |
| | Consistent. |
| | The subject land is in private ownership, and its |
| | does not impede public access to and along the |
| | coastal foreshore for pedestrians and/or persons |
| | with a disability. The rezoning of this land will |
| | not have a detrimental impact upon the amenity |

| | of the coastal foreshore (ie. overshadowing, bulk and scale or loss of existing public views) and/or compromise the scenic qualities of the NSW coast. Also, the proposal will not impact upon existing animals, plants, fish, wildlife corridors, coastal processes, cultural places, water quality, heritage and the like. Therefore, the nature of this proposal is such that it will not contravene the aims of this Policy, nor its intent and, as such, the outcome will not compromise the environmental quality of this coastal land. |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| SEPP (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009 | Not applicable. |
| | Whilst not directly related to the proposed rezoning of land, future opportunities for affordable rental housing could be considered. At this time, no investigation or proposal to implement provisions under this SEPP have been undertaken however. Further detailed analysis will be undertaken as part of the DA process in the future. |
| SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004 | Not Applicable. |
| | However, this will be applicable to any future residential development within the site. Further detailed analysis will be undertaken as part of the DA process in the future. |
| SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008 | Consistent. |
| | The Planning Proposal will not contain provisions that will contradict or would hinder the application of exempt or complying development. |
| SEPP (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004 | Capable of Complying |
| | Whilst not directly related to the proposed rezoning of land, future opportunities for seniors housing could be considered and is included as a potential option in the Preferred Development Concepts in the Urban Design Analysis Report. Further detailed analysis will be undertaken as part of the DA process or further Urban Design Review of the site in the future. |

| SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 | Capable of Complying. |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | The Planning Proposal will not contain provisions that will contradict or would hinder the application of the SEPP. |
| SEPP (Integration and Repeals) 2016 | Not Applicable. |
| SEPP (Kosciuszko National Park—Alpine Resorts) 2007 | Not Applicable. |
| SEPP (Kurnell Peninsula) 1989 | Not Applicable. |
| SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 | Not Applicable. |
| SEPP (Miscellaneous Consent Provisions) 2007 | Not Applicable. |
| SEPP (Penrith Lakes Scheme) 1989 | Not Applicable. |
| SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008 | Not Applicable. |
| SEPP (State and Regional Development) 2011 | Not Applicable. |
| SEPP (State Significant Precincts) 2005 | Not Applicable. |
| SEPP (Sydney Drinking Water Catchment) 2011 | Not Applicable. |
| SEPP (Sydney Region Growth Centres) 2006 | Not Applicable. |
| SEPP (Three Ports) 2013 | Minor Inconsistency. The Planning Proposal is outside of land affected by the Three Ports SEPP. DPIE have concluded that this SEPP does apply to the site from a land use perspective. As detailed in the Planning Proposal report, there is an opportunity to provide some of the outcomes from the Land Use Conflict Study with a buffer section as well as development controls relating to building form, orientation, layout and landscaping. |
| SEPP (Urban Renewal) 2010 | Not Applicable. |
| SEPP (Western Sydney Employment Area) 2009 | Not Applicable. |
| SEPP (Western Sydney Parklands) 2009 | Not Applicable. |

State Environmental Planning Policies